

Chapter III problem 26

Find all cluster points of the subset of \mathbb{R} given by $\{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} \mid n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Proof Set $S = \{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} \mid n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Claim For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\frac{1}{n}$ is a cluster point.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. By AP, $\exists M \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\frac{1}{M} < \epsilon$. Furthermore $\frac{1}{m} < \epsilon \forall m > M$.
Since $d(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}) = \frac{1}{m} < \epsilon$ for all $m > M$, we can conclude that

$\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} \in N(\frac{1}{n}, \epsilon)$ for all $m > M$. So, $\frac{1}{n}$ is a cluster point.

Claim 0 is a cluster point.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. By AP, $\exists M \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\frac{1}{M} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. In fact $\frac{1}{m} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \forall m > M$.

Since $d(0, \frac{1}{M+1} + \frac{1}{m}) = \frac{1}{M+1} + \frac{1}{m} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for all $m > M$,

we can conclude that $\frac{1}{M+1} + \frac{1}{m} \in N(0, \epsilon)$ for all $m > M$,

and 0 is a cluster point.

Claim 0 and $\frac{1}{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are the only cluster points of S .

~~By Th~~ Let p be a cluster point of S . By defⁿ of cluster point, we know that p is a limit of ^{some} a seq in S , say's $(q_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.

However, subsequences of $(q_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ will converge to either 0

or $\frac{1}{n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that p is either 0 or $\frac{1}{n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Chapter III problem 27

Let $S \neq \emptyset$ be a subset of \mathbb{R} that is bounded from above but has no greatest elt. Prove that $\text{l.u.b } S$ is a cluster point of S .

Proof Let $y = \text{l.u.b.}$ Since $y-1$ is not an upper bound, it implies that

$\exists s_1 \in S$ s.t. $y-1 < s_1 < y$. Since $y-\frac{1}{2}$ is not an u.b., and S has no greatest elt, it follows that $\exists s_2 \in S$ s.t. $y-\frac{1}{2} < s_2 < y$ and $s_1 < s_2$.

Since $y-\frac{1}{3}$ is not an u.b., and S has no greatest elt, we then have

that $\exists s_3 \in S$ s.t. $y-\frac{1}{3} < s_3 < y$ and $s_1 < s_2 < s_3$. Continue in this way

we can construct a seq $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ s.t. $y-\frac{1}{n} < s_n < y$ and $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n < \dots$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. By A.P., $\exists M \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\frac{1}{M} < \epsilon$. Hence $y-\frac{1}{M} > y-\epsilon$

for all $m > M$ and $s_m \in N(y, \epsilon)$ for all $m > M$.

We then have that y is a cluster point.

Chapter III problem 28

Prove that a subset S of a metric space is closed \iff it contains all its cluster points.

Proof (\rightarrow) Assume that S is closed.

Let p be a cluster point of S . Then p is the limit of a seq in S .

Since S is closed, it follows that $p \in S$.

(\Leftarrow) Assume that S contains all its cluster points.

So, S contains all limits of sequences of points of S that converge in E . By 16 b), we can conclude that $S = \overline{S}$.

Chapter III problem 30

Give an example of each of the following:

a) an infinite subset of \mathbb{R} with no cluster point.

\mathbb{N} .

b) a complete metric space that is bounded but not compact.

A metric space (\mathbb{N}, d) is a complete metric space that is bounded but not compact.

Here, the distance function d is defined in the following way:

$$d(p, q) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p = q \\ 1 & \text{if } p \neq q. \end{cases}$$

Claim (\mathbb{N}, d) is complete.

Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy seq of points of (\mathbb{N}, d) .

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\epsilon < 1$. Then $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon < 1$ for all

$n, m > N$. Hence $d(x_n, x_m) = 0$ and $x_n = x_{N+1}$ for all $n > N$.

Clearly, $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a convergent seq. Furthermore, (\mathbb{N}, d) is complete.

Claim (\mathbb{N}, d) is bounded

$$(\mathbb{N}, d) \subset B(1, 2)$$

\downarrow center at 1
 \rightarrow radius = 2
 \uparrow closed ball.

Claim (\mathbb{N}, d) is not compact

$$\mathbb{N} \subset \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} N(i, 1/2) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{N} \not\subset N(i_1, 1/2) \cup N(i_2, 1/2) \cup \dots \cup N(i_k, 1/2)$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

c) a metric space none of whose closed balls is complete.

Consider $S = \{1/n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Claim that none of closed balls is complete.

Let $x \in S$ and $\epsilon > 0$. We will denote the closed ball center at x and radius ϵ by $B(x, \epsilon)$. ~~Assume that $B(x, \epsilon)$ is complete.~~

~~Let $(q_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$~~ Assume that $B(x, \epsilon) \cap S$ is complete.

Let $(q_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy seq in $B(x, \epsilon) \cap S$ s.t. $\{q_m / m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an infinite set.
 Hence $(q_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a convergent seq and its limit is in $B(x, \epsilon) \cap S$. satisfies the ~~defined by~~.

Let $(q_{m_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a ~~seq~~ a subseq of $(q_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$

property that $q_{m_1} > q_{m_2} > q_{m_3} > \dots > q_{m_k} > \dots$. Note we can write

$$q_{m_k} = \frac{1}{m_k}. \quad \text{Clearly } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} q_{m_k} = 0. \quad \text{Hence } \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} q_m = 0 \text{ as well.}$$

This contradicts with that assumption that $B(x, \epsilon) \cap S$ is complete.

So, $B(x, \epsilon) \cap S$ is not complete.

Chapter III problem 32.

Show that the union of a finite number of compact subsets of a metric space is compact.

Proof Let C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k be compact set.

Let $\{Q_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of open sets s.t. $\bigcup_{j=1}^k C_j \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} Q_i$.

For each $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, since C_j is compact, it implies that

~~$C_j \subset Q_{i_1} \cup Q_{i_2} \cup \dots \cup Q_{i_l}$~~

$$C_j \subset Q_{j_1} \cup Q_{j_2} \cup \dots \cup Q_{j_{l_j}}$$

Therefore $\bigcup_{j=1}^k C_j \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^k (Q_{j_1} \cup Q_{j_2} \cup \dots \cup Q_{j_{l_j}})$.

and $\bigcup_{j=1}^k C_j$ is compact.